]	RTI APPEAL DETAIL	LS (आरटीआई अपील विवरण)	
Appeal Registration Number (अपील पंजीकरण संख्या) :	IFCIL/A/E/24/00005	RTI Appeal Received Date (आरटीआई अपील प्राप्त की तिथि) :	06/02/2024
RTI Request Registration No. (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण संख्या):	IFCIL/R/T/23/00006	RTI Request Registration Date (आरटीआई अनुरोध पंजीकरण की तारीख) :	27/12/2023
Name (नाम)		Gender (लिंग) :	Male
Address (पता) :			
Pin code (पिन कोड) :	530016		
State (राज्य) :	Andhra Pradesh	Country (देश) :	India
Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :	Details not provided	Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर)	
Email-ID (ईमेल- आईडी) :			
Status (स्थिति) :	Urban	Educational Status (शैक्षणिक स्थिति) :	Above Graduate
Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :	Indian	Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line ? (क्या अपील करनेवाला गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :	No
CPIO Approached (संपर्क सीपीआईओ) :	38570	Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision(सीपीआईओ के आदेश / निर्णय के रसीद की तारीख) :	Details not provided
CPIO's Order/Decision No. (सीपीआईओ का आदेश / निर्णय संख्या) :	Details not provided		
Ground for Appeal(अपील का आधार) :	Refused access to Information Requested		
Text of RTI First Appeal (आरटीआई प्रथम अपील का पाठ) :	Please refer the communication of the CPIO dated 2 February 2024. An appeal against the said communication is now preferred.1. The CPIO has disposed of the request in the most mechanical and casual way without applying his mind to the request made under the RTI Act		
	2. Time and again, the courts and the central information commission have been emphasising that the CPIO has to establish that the disclosure		

of documents would impede, hamper or interfere with an investigation. A simple statement that the documents are exempted under the said section would not suffice.

3. The CPIO has not indicated the proceedings which are likely to be impeded, hampered or interfered with. In the absence of the same it becomes safe to conclude that the CPIO has not acted in a judicious manner while relying upon the exemption

4. The CPIO has also not informed the manner in which the proceedings are likely to be impeded, hampered or interfered with. It is also not clear as to what kind of proceedings are pending which would have been affected by the disclosure of the information. The CPIO has not stated whether proceedings are under the Staff, regulations of ifci, Income Tax proceedings or Criminal proceedings or any other proceedings under any other law

5. The CPIO could have redacted the concerned material and could have supplied the balance information. It becomes clear that the CPIO has not applied his mind either to the provisions of the RTI Act or to the request made by the appellant

6. The Supreme Court of India and the other courts and the central information commission have been emphasising that the objective of the RTI Act, disclosure being the norm and non-disclosure. Being the exception, the CPIO should have borne this in mind while disposing of the request. It appears that the CPIO believes that the objective of the RTI Act is non-disclosure is the norm and disclosure is the exception. This conclusion has been arrived on the basis of the disposal of the requests made by the CPIO

7. There is no connection between the documents requested and the basis of CPIO to deny the document as the appellant has never sought any document or asked the status with regard to any enquiry or proceedings. The appellant has only requested for copies of the letters written by IFCI to the Department of financial services on the issue of payment of LIC group superannuation cash accumulation scheme to the appellant.

8. The CPIO has failed to take into consideration the recent developments on the RTI aspects in which a High Court has recently held that even the central bureau of investigation is not exempted from the provisions of the RTI Act totally and CBI is required to implement the RTI Act as permissible by law.

The first appellate authority may consider the above and dispose of the request made by the appellant by directing the submission of the information which has been wrongly denied by the CPIO.